State of California Department of Public Works Division of Highways
Materials and Research Department
LIBRARY COPY

Transportation Laboratory

I-Men-1-D.E Lab Auth 2196-20-S

Mr. F. N. Hveem Materials & Research Engr. Materials & Research Dept. Division of Highways Sacramento, California

Dear Sir:

Submitted for your consideration is:

AN INVESTIGATION

of the

SUBSURFACE AND SURFACE

DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

ROAD I-Men-1-D,E

between

60-26

4.1 MI. NORTH OF FORSYTHE CREEK AND RIDGEWOOD SUMMIT

Study made by ...... Foundation Section Under general direction of .... A. W. Root Work supervised by ..... T. W. Smith Report prepared by...... C. A. Reyner T. W. Smith

Very truly yours,

A. W. Root

Supv. Mtls. & Research Engr.

Attach cc:Pavement Section Administration Section

はいないのでは、

。 全 个

State of California Department of Public Works Division of Highways
Materials and Research Department
LIBRARY COPY

Liberary

Transportation Laboratory

I-Men-1-D.E Lab Auth 2196-20-S

Mr. F. N. Hveem Materials & Research Engr. Materials & Research Dept. Division of Highways Sacramento, California

Dear Sir:

Submitted for your consideration is:

AN INVESTIGATION

of the

SUBSURFACE AND SURFACE

DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

OΠ

ROAD I-Men-1-D,E

between

4.1 MI. NORTH OF FORSYTHE CREEK AND RIDGEWOOD SUMMIT

Study made by...... Foundation Section Under general direction of .... A. W. Root Work supervised by...... T. W. Smith Report prepared by..... C. A. Reyner T. W. Smith

Very truly yours,

A. W. Root

Supv. Mtls. & Research Engr.

Attach

cc:Pavement Section Administration Section

10 TO THE LOCK

- "P" -.

The project on road I-Men-1-D,E, between 4.1 miles north of Forsythe Creek and Ridgewood Summit, was completed in November of 1954. During the summer of 1955 an investigation was carried out by District I and Headquarters Laboratory personnel to determine the causes of premature pavement failures. The findings of the investigation and recommendations are included in a report titled, "An Investigation of the Causes of Distress Appearing in a Bituminous Surface Road in Mendocino County," dated January 13, 1956.

Listed below are some of the conclusions from the above report:

- 1. There is free water present under the pavement after rains and seepage through the pavement is evidence that the subdrainage system for the roadway is either inadequate or not functioning properly.
- 2. The C.T.B. is badly broken, permitting loads on the underlying soils greater than were anticipated in the design of the structural section.
- 3. Deflections throughout the job were relatively high.

A contract was let in the summer of 1957 to rehabilitate some of the underdrains and to construct 3,250 lineal feet of new underdrains. Al Franks of this department observed the work on this contract and a report titled: "Observation of Underdrain Rehabilitation," dated October 7, 1957, points out several reasons why the original underdrains had not functioned properly. It was stated in this report that the most obvious fault of the underdrains was that a clay seal was placed over them at the level of the bottom of the subbase material; therefore, any free water that worked into the structural section had no means of escape other than up through the structural section, causing seepage through the pavement.

The pictures in the report show that most of the water that was finding its way to the underdrain trenches, while they were open, was from the structural section rather than from ground water sources.

Following the completion of the underdrain rehabilitation contract a survey was made during August, 1957, noting the flow conditions of all the stabilization trenches and underdrain outlets. The 1957 survey and a subsequent survey in March, 1959, indicated similar seepage conditions. In general, the underdrains do not carry much water, indicating

that there is very little ground water at the underdrain level, that the underdrains are not positioned to intercept and remove the subsurface water, or that due to malfunctioning of the underdrains they do not remove the subsurface water.

During the early winter of 1959 B. C. Walker, District Materials Engineer, and T. W. Smith of the Materials and Research Department, while on a field trip, discussed possible corrective measures that should be considered when this portion of the road is reconstructed. At a later date representatives of the Foundation Section agreed that inasmuch as the Materials and Research Department would probably be asked for comments in the event of reconstruction, information should be obtained as to seepage and drainage conditions immediately after a heavy rainfall and two to three weeks of dry weather following the same rainfall. After consultation with representatives of the Pavement Section it was agreed that Ray Forsythe and Charles Reyner would make the surveys when conditions permitted.

Drainage and/or seepage surveys were made during February and March of 1959 to observe the runoff flow rates and pavement pumping a few days after a heavy storm and also after an eighteen-day dry period following the storm.

This survey noted the flow occurring in all pipes, such as underdrains, stabilization trenches, crossdrains and culverts, as well as any pavement and cut slope seepages.

Table 1 lists the flow at all outlets. This table has been separated into other tabulations or lists for discussion purposes.

Table 2 lists all of the culverts that carry surface runoff across the right-of-way. The total flow had, in the eleven-day period between readings, decreased to twenty-five percent of that of the first readings.

Table 3 lists all of the underdrains. Sixty-five percent of the new or rehabilitated underdrains were dry, and most of these show no signs of having ever carried any water. Of the remaining thirty-five percent, five of the new or rehabilitated underdrains had a measurable flow after the storm and each of them had a decrease in flow after eleven days. The remainder of the underdrains that were carrying water showed seepage under the pipe in the gravel bed. This seepage appears to occur only after storms, indicating either that it is a result of surface runoff, or that the subsurface water is very responsive to rainfall.

Table 4 lists the stabilization trench outlets. The flow rates at these outlets did not change during the eleven-day period, with the exception of seven or eight outlets. These flow rates are similar to those observed in August of 1957, indicating in general that these installations are carrying water that flows at a near-constant rate throughout the year.

Pavement seepages are listed in Table 5. There is considerable pavement seepage and evidence of pumping where the C.T.B. is broken. Of the forty areas listed as showing seepage in February, 1959, only seven showed seepage in March, 1959. Only one of the seven had not reduced in area. This one area appears to be a spring or seepage in a cut section on the southbound lanes at Station "E" 402+. Thus it appears that the seepage areas are very responsive to rainfall and that most of these areas dry very quickly.

Table 6 lists areas of cut slope seepages. There are a few springs or seeps that flow the year around. These seepage areas are evident by heavy vegetation. In general, most of the water on the cut slopes is that which has permeated to a level at the bottom of the root growth of the vegetation and travels to the open cut and spills out over the cut-face causing small slides and mud flows. There are some cases where the surface water percolates down to a rock layer and travels through the fractured rock or along a bedding plane and out to the cut slope.

The average annual rainfall for the Ridgewood Summit area is fifty inches. Figure No. 1 shows the rainfall for the period January 1, 1959, to March 10, 1959. Most of the rainfall occurs during heavy storms, causing periodic high runoffs. The CMP's and RCB's are of sufficient size to take the runoff. There are two locations, right of Station "D" 209+50 and right of Station "D" 368+50, where the headwall or inlet of the pipe is not properly situated for the large flow that must be handled and some of the water bypasses the headwall and spills out onto the pavement.

There are two locations, left of Station "D" 280+00 and left of Station "D" 322+, where erosion at the outlet end of the pipe is making the area unstable.

There are places where the gutters do not have sufficient cross-sectional area to carry the flow from the cut slope without water spilling onto the pavement. If a small slide or sloughing of the cut slope occurs the gutter is dammed and all of the gutter flow spills onto the pavement. This water, once on the pavement, runs down the wheel tracks until there is sufficient super elevation to cause the water to drain from the roadway. There are areas where earth slides have caused a heave in the gutter line, destroying the intended function of the gutter.

There are two locations, right of Station "D" 246+50 and right of Station "D" 322+, where poor drainage conditions outside the shoulder line allow free surface water to collect and this water could possibly be draining into the structural section.

Since the data for this report were obtained, some areas have been reconstructed under Contract 59-1BC83-F. Most of this work was repairing fill slipouts and slide damages.

### Conclusions

Many factors are believed to have contributed to the deterioration of the roadway on this project. The foundation soils are relatively poor and slipouts and slides have occurred on many portions of the road. It is believed, however, that the poor foundation soils have not been the primary cause of the distress of the pavement itself. Apparently considerable quantities of water from rainfall have penetrated the pavement and structural section. Due to the relatively steep grades involved this water has tended to flow down grade through the structural section and appear as seepage or pumping rather than make its way to the edges of the roadway. This condition has been further aggravated by the layer of pervious subbase material on most of the project. The areas where pervious subbase was placed were not necessarily provided with outlets to remove the water from the pervious subbase. It is true that underdrains were provided alongside many of these areas and transverse underdrains were provided in many instances either in the initial construction or when the underdrain system was rehabilitated. However, this condition of inadequate drainage of the pervious subbase has not been completely This water in many cases makes its way along the pervious subbase and shows up in the roadway as seepage. Even though the initial cause of distress may be difficult to determine, this condition of hydrostatic head during periods of rainfall, the broken condition of the pavement and CTB, and the relatively weak structural section have all combined to cause further deterioration of the structural section.

It is believed that these drainage conditions must ultimately be corrected or the pavement condition will continue to worsen.

Several steps are believed essential to improve the poor condition of this road. Transverse underdrains should be provided at the lower end of all of the areas where pervious subbase was placed. Additional transverse underdrains should be provided at intervals not exceeding 300 feet along all of the pervious subbase areas. There should be at least one longitudinal underdrain in each of the pervious subbase areas. This drain should be so positioned that it will provide drainage on the low side of the pervious subbase.

The removal of the subsurface water will provide only a portion of the solution. Every effort should be made to prevent water from percolating through the pavement. It would appear that the major portion of the water is entering through the roadway surface. In order to prevent this, every effort should be made to construct an impervious surface. Any deficiencies in the surface drainage facilities should be corrected.

The structural section should be reinforced as considered necessary taking into account the broken condition of the surfacing and CTB. Furthermore, observations made during the rehabilitation of the underdrains in 1957 indicated that the thicknesses of the various parts of the structural section were somewhat deficient.

It is believed that if these procedures are followed the proposed improvements should correct most of the present deficiencies which are causing the pavement distress.

The measures discussed are relatively independent of the conditions that have caused the slides, slipouts, and embankment settlements. These slides or slipouts should be investigated and treated independently as conditions indicate the need for such preventative measures or treatment.

# Drainage Survey - All pipes

Outlet	•	Description	Flow Feb. 25 & 26, 1959	Flow Mar. 9 & 10, 1959
Station 186+50 189+90 194+73 195+45 196+80+	Position Left " " Right Left	36" CMP 8" PMP Trans. Underdrain 3' x 3' R.C.B. UD 8" PMP & Stab, trench	No flow-ground wet underpipe 432,000 gpd Could not locate outlet Flow in bed under pipe none	Dry Slightly Drier 34,000 gpd 
200+60 200+60 204+15 204+15 205+20	Right Left	R.C.B. Underdrai Stab. Tre	0 gpd kle 0 gpd 0 gpd r pipe	115,000 gpd Pipe damp, no flow 771 gpd 5,400 gpd Diry
205+95 209+30 211+00 <del>+</del> 212+18 <del>+</del>	7. = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	Trans, underdrain 24" CMP 8" PMP Stab, Trench 24" CMP 8" PMP U.D.	Dry 2,880 gpd Dry 288,000 gpd 960 gpd	1,136 gpd Dry 14,653 gpd 515 gpd
214+00 215+60 217+96 220+50 221+50	11 19 19 19 19 19 19	(中央政府中)	C14	Dry II
225+78 226+70 229+40	-= ==	. or is: R.C.P PMP Stab.	432,000 gpd Pipe wet, marshy & wet around pipe Ditto	
230+23 231+30 237+03 238+00 241+50 246+40	<b>ロ・エ・ロ・ロ・エ・・・ロ・エ・</b>		115,200 gpd Dry 216,000 gpd Dry Dry Dry 144,000 gpd	2,160 gpd Dry 14,400 gpd Dry " " " 86,200 gpd

\*gpd = gallons per day

"ח"

Flow Mar. 9 & 10, 1959	Dry	=-	Trickle	Dry	<b>E</b> *	21,590 gpd		; ;	; <b>1</b>	1 1		3 8	Same	Trickle	Dry	Same	Trickle	5.080 gnd	120	Dry	Drip	Same	- 90 end		120 "		Dry	123 gpd nine Same			-
Flow Feb. 25 & 26, 1959	Dry	2,880 gpd		Dry	<b>2</b>	144,000 gpd	In slide area			11 11 11	3.4 A.3 A.4	And the second s		320		Seepage underpipe	Lyoou gpd	14,400 gpd	2,880 gpd	Dry		Seepage under pipe	e Farsily 260	Assume this flow from UD	7,200 gpd	14,400	Pipe dry, seepage around pipe	under	2,880 gpd	Could not locate outlet	
Description	8" PMP Stab, Trench	24" CMP		מח לאל יא	<u> </u>	CMP	8". PMP Stab. Trench	E	24" CMP	8" PMP Stab, Trench	PMP UD	PMP	••	ص	8' - PMP Stab. Trench	7 6 7 6	PMP UD	S	24" CMP	ш	م	or PMP Stab. Trench	18" CMP	_	P	FMF Stab. I	8: PMP Trans. UD 24" CMP	വ	CMP	8" PMP Stab, Trench	
117	247+10 Left 248+50 "	248+54	E . *	250+00 Kignt 250+70 "	256+50 Left	257+72	25/485	260+15	260+50	260+50	260+50 Right	Le:	263+70	200+62	t.	20/+24 KLBIIL 269±50 Toft	Ri	•	272+80	275+95	276+45	1 00+0/2	280+00		284+64	F	260+35 Kight 288+90 Left	=	296+69	299+00	-

TABLE I (Cont.)

Flow Mar. 9 & 10, 1959	10,790 gpd Dry	51	ory 216,000 gpd und Same	Dry Trickle 432,000 gpd Same Pipe damp, seepage	Dry Dry 3,600 gpd Dry Same Dry	172,400 gpd Same Dry 360 gpd
/ Flow Feb. 25 & 26, 1959	43,200 gpd Dry Drip	mder drai gpd .pe, pipe	Jry 360 gpd 1,440,000 gpd Could not locate outlet Drip from pipe, marshy around	Dry 14,400 gpd 1,080,000 Could not locate outlet 8,800 gpd	Dry 7,200 gpd Dry Seepage from under pipe Dry Seepage under pipe	<u> </u>
Description	CMP PMP Stab. PMP UD	not shown on plans 8" PMP Tran. UD 18" CMP; Catches UD on Rt. 8" PMP Stab. Trench 24" CMP 8" PMP Stab. Trench 8" PMP Stab. Trench 8" PMP Stab. UD	CMP SMP Stab. Trench K 5' RCB SMP Trans. UD	8" PMP Trans. UD 24" CMP 5" x 5" RCB 8" PMP Stab. Trench 8" PMP	CMP PMP Stab. Trench PMP PMP PMP Tran. UD PMP Stab. Trench CMP PMP Stab. Trench	R Sta UD UD
Outlet	Sta. Position 300+44 Left 300+50 " 301+50 Right	302+20 " 304+96 Left 305+60 " 306+75 " 308+69 " 308+69 "	310+57 310+70 312+75 312+75 113+80	314+65 " 318+21 " 319+65 " 322+00 "	322+87 322+87 323+50 324+00 325+00 325+00	328+15 " 329+71 " 330+00 " 331+20 Right 332+26 Left 332+46 Right to

$\sim$
,
•
¥
⊑
O
8
<u> </u>
Н
BLE
PC)
⋖
ΙĀ

Dry Drip Dry 6,170 gpd Same 2,880 gpd 4,550 " 60,000 "	Drip 240 gpd 86,200 " 1,440 " 21,590 "	36,000 gpd 36,000 gpd 2,160 gpd 432 gpd Dry Dry 393 gpd 5,400 "
Dry 360 gpd 360 gpd Dry 21,590 gpd e under pipe 2,880 gpd 21,600 " 115,200 "	& very wet Drip 1,440 gpd 115,200 " 1,440 " 28,800 "	36,000 gpd 2,000 gpd 2,160 " 2,160 " 1,080 gpd 5,400 " Dry Dry
1e. should be about 340+20 8" PMP Tran. UD 24" CMP 8" PMP Tran. UD 24" CMP 8" PMP UD 24" CMP	## PMP Tran. UD  18" CMP (collects UD  24" CMP  8" PMP UD  18" CMP  24" CMP	டு படி வெறு கட்
Stationing questionab 340+25 Right 342+00 Left 344+25 " 344+44 " 344+50 Right 349+50 " 352+27 " 352+32 Right 353+85 Left	354+85 "357+78 "358+94 "358+50 "361+98 "365+50 "	366+00 367+00 368+56 369+45 370+50 363+00 364+60 366+50 369+22 369+22
	Should be about 340+20   Dry   Should be about 340+20   Dry   Dry	Sepage under 180   Dry   Dry

e A	•							· ·															
1. 0.	Mar. 9 & 10, 1959	Dry	Same	432 gpd	Drip Tro	j= ;:	□・氫・;	ii 1 440 pnd		Dry		12,340 gpd		8,640 "	5,260 True	1,005 gpd		Same	Dry	No flow, seepage	under pipe	Dry 1,920 gpd	Dry
	Flow Feb. 25 & 26, 1959	Dry	Pipe dry - very wet around pipe		2,880 gpd	711 711	<b>= • = •</b>	11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Covered by slope rehabili-	tation 240 gnd			7,000	8,640 "	7,200	1,728 gpd		/,200 gpd Drin-seenase under nine	Dry	1,440 gpd		Dry 7,860 gpd	Flow (?)
TABLE I (Cont.)	Description	8" PMP UD 8" PMP III CET	PMP Sta		<u> </u>	PMP UD	8" PMP Stab. Trench	`™=	18 CMF 8" PMP Stab, Trench	2/11 CMD	ο.	CNB		PMP UD	CMP	8" FMF Trans, UD 8" PMF UD (drain Rt. side)	PMP UD Ctr. & Lt.	24" CMP	RE	된 당 	חות לכמרכזופם חת	8" PMP Stab. Trench 24" CMP	
	:1et	Sta. Fosition 369+65 Right 360,73 Toft			373+16 Right 374+00 Left		375+95 Left 377+90 "	378+82	3/9+40 383+30	11 17:706	384+50	387+75	388+50	392+00	392+35	394+05 394-30	394+50	398+88		405+00 Left		410+85 "	417+00 to 420+30 Rt.

ij

TABLE 2
CMP s & RCB's

			•		Flow in	Gallons per Day	
	Station	•	Descrip	tion	February		
		Left	36" CMP		10,000	Dry	
	194+73	11	$3^{\circ} \times 3^{\circ}$	RCB	432,000	34,000	
	200+60	11	4' x 3'	RCB	432,000	115,000	
	204+15	11	24" CMP		2,880	771	
	209+30	ii	24" CMP		2,880	1,136	
	212+18	ii.	24" CMP		288,000	14,653	
	217+96	11	24" CMP		144,000	Dry	
	225+78	ij	48" RCP		432,000	43,200	
	230+23	ii	24" CMP		115,200	2,160	
	237+03	11	36" CMP		216,000	14,400	
	241+50	t)	24" CMP		Drip	Dry	
	246+50	ii.	36" CMP		144,000	86,200	
	248+54	11	24" CMP		2,880	Dry	
	250+66	11	24" CMP		1,440	Trickle	
	257+72	11	24" CMP		144,000	21,590	
	260+50	11	24" CMP		In slide	21,330	
	266+62	11	24" CMP	•	4,320	Trickle	
	271+39	11	24" CMP			5,080	
	272+80	B	24'' CMP	•	14,400	120	
	276+45	ij	24" CMP		2,880 720		
	280+00	ų.	18" CMP		360	Drip	
_	284+64	11				90	
"D"	288+91	ij.			7,200	120	
	296+69	11			4,320	125	
Ë		11			2,880	60	
. <u>;;</u>	300+44	11			43,200	10,790	
ct	304+96	11			Dry	Dry	
Section	308+69	11			7,200	1,440	
	310+57 312+75	11		חמט	Dry	Dry	
	318+21	11	5' x 5' 24'' CMP	RCB	1,440,000	216,000	
	319+65	11		RCB	14,400	Trickle	
	322+87	ы	5' x 5' 24" CMP	KCD	1,080,000	432,000	
	325+72	11	18" CMP		Dry	Dry	
	329+71	11	24" CMP		Dry 216,000	Dry 172,400	
	336+41	11	18" CMP		360	135	
	339+46	13	24" CMP		86,200	25,400	
	342+00	11	24" CMP		360	Drip	
	344+44	11	24" CMP	•	21,590	6,170	
	347+81	11	24" CMP		2,880	2,880	
	349+50	11	24" CMP	•	21,600	4,550	
	352+27	11	24" CMP	•	115,200	60,000	
	353+85	11	24" CMP			e covered by slipout	-
	333103		24 WII		very wet	e covered by strpod.	<b>**</b> .
	357+76	- 11	18" CMP		1,440	240	
	358+94	Л	24" CMP	4	115,200	86,200	
	361+98	11	18" CMP		28,800	21,590	
	365+50	H	24" CMP		Drip	Drip	
	368+56	11	24" CMP		36,000	36,000	
	370+50	11	24" CMP		7,200	Dry	
					.,200	D.L.J	

## TABLE 2 (Cont.)

			Flow_in_Gall	ons per Day
	<u>Station</u>	<u>Description</u>	February 1959	March 1959
Section "E"	363+00 Left 366+50 " 369+22 " 372+61 " 375+28 " 379+40 " 384+41 " 387+75 " 392+35 " 398+88 " 405+00 " 409+05 " 412+51 "	24" CMP 24" CMP 24" CMP 24" CMP 24" CMP 18" CMP 24" CMP 24" CMP 24" CMP 24" CMP 18" CMP 18" CMP 18" CMP	2,160 1,080 43,200 7,200 Dry 5,260 240 14,400 7,200 7,200 1,440 864 7,860	432 393 28,890 432 Dry 1,440 Dry 12,340 5,260 6,170 Dry
			5.740.000	1.471.777

TABLE 3

## All Underdrains

Mar. 1959	Dry	Same -drier	outlet	Pipe damp - no flow	Dry	515 gpd	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry		Same	Same
Feb. 1959	7,200 gpd	No flow in pipe. Wet	under pipe Could not locate outlet	Trickle	Dry	960 gpd	Drip	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Lost in slipout	Marshy-free water	Seepage under pipe
Aug. 1957	Pipe 3/4 plugged. Outlet ditch plugged. Water backed up in pipe by dirt plug.	Pipe 1/4 plugged - Dry	Pipe 1/3 plugged. Moisture in pipe	mider prug Dry	Not listed	Dry	Dry	Dry	Not listed	Dry	Dry	Moist, no flow of water	Pipe dry. Gravel below wet	Dry
Description*	Old U.D.	Old Trans.U.D.	Old U.D.	Rehab. U.D.	Old Tran. UD	U.D. 01d	Old Trans.U.D.	01d Trans. U.D.	Old Trans. U.D.	Rehab, U.D.	Rehab. U.D.	Rehab. U.D.	Old U.D.	Rehab. U.D.
Station	188+50	189+90	195+45	200+60 to 203+02 Rt.	205+95 Lt.	212+90 Rt.	5 221+50 Lt.	231+30 Lt.	238+80 Lt.	250+00 to 250+58 Lt.	250+70 to 255+35 Rt.	260+50 to 263+39 Rt.	263+70 Lt. Toe of slope	267+24 to 271+28 Rt.

1.5	
1.	$\sim$
1	u
•	ü
	ပ္ပ
	ت
	(1)
	H
	BL
	7
	IA
	•

	•		TABLE 3 (cont.)		
	Station	Description*	Aug. 1957	Feb. 1959	Mar. 1959
<u> </u>	275+95 Lt.	01d Trans. U.D.	Dry	Dry	Dry
	279+00 to 281+00 Rt.	Rehab, U.D.	Not listed	360 gpd	90 gpd
<del></del> .	286+35	Old Trans. U.D.	Dry	pipe dry- seep under pipe	Dry
# .	288+90 to 291+00 Rt.	Rehab. U.D.	Water flowing from C.M.P. Left into which P.M.P. leads	4320 gpd	125 gpd
	295+60 Lt.	Old Trans. U.D.	Very slight flow of water	pipe wet - seepage under pipe	Same
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	302+20 Rt.	Old Trans. U.D.	Outlet crushed & plugged; gravel under P.M.P. damp.	Seep under pipe	Same
u 11 Die	304+96 to 308+42 Rt.	Rehab. U.D.	Dry	Dry	Dry
oii	314+65 Lt.	Old Trans. U.D.	Dry	Dry	Dry
gec	324+00 Lt.	Old Trans. U.D.	Dry	Dry	Dry
	331+20 to 332+35 Rt.	Rehab, U.D.	Damp	Seepage under pipe	Same
	332+46 to 344+88 Rt.	Rehab, U.D.	Very slight flow of water	1440 gpd	360 gpd
	333+00 to ) 337+00 tb ) 332+60 to ) 335+40 Lt.) 332+26 to ) 330+00 Obl)	Common outlet - new	Dry	Dry	Dry
	340+25 Rt.	Old Trans. U.D.	Pipe 1/2 plugged - Dry	Dry	Dry
	344+00 Lt.	Old Trans. U.D.	Dry	Dry	Dry

1959 Mar.	Dry		Seepage under Same pipe	Dry	Pipe end covered due to slipout -	very wet & marshy Drip	gpd 240 gpd	Dry	Dry (Slipout	ar end pipe) Dry	Drip	Dry	Dry	Dry
Feb.	Dry		Seep	Dry	Pipe due	very Drip	1440 gpd	Dry	Dry	Dry	1080	Dry	Dry	Dry
Aug. 1957	Dry		Pipe damp. Slight flow in gravel below P.M.P.	Slight flow of water	Medium flow of water	Slight flow of water	Dry	Dry	Dry	Not listed	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
Description*	New	common outlet	Rehab. UD	Rehab. UD	Rehab. UD	01d Trans. UD	Rehab. UD other runoff)	Trans. UD (New)	New	Old Trans.UD	old u.p.	New	Rehab. UD	Rehab, UD
Station	344+25 to ) 347+25 <b>b</b> }	344+25 to 347+15 Lt.	344+50 to 347+50 Rt.	352+32 to 353+60 Rt.	353+85 to 357+64 Rt.	354+85 Lt.	357+78 to 364+30 Rt. (Includes ot)	367+00 to 369+10 &	364+60 to 366+15 b	369+65 Rt.	369+73 Rt.	369+73 to 370+67 Lt.E	373+16 to 374+25 Rt.	375+16 to

L
<u> </u>
ಲ
7
ų
<u> </u>
7
IAB

	, si		TABLE 3 (cont.)		
	Station	Description*	Aug. 1957	<u>Feb. 1959</u>	<u>Mar. 1959</u>
<del></del>	379+90 to 382+00 E		Dry	Dry	Dry
<del></del>	379440 to 380485 Lt.	common outlet -new		,	
	378+82 to 379+90 Obl. & Lt.				
<del></del>	384+50 Lt.	Old Trans. UD	Dry	240 gpd	Dry
·	388+75 to 389+50 Rt.	(Flows into stab.trench)	Not listed	2880 gpd	2700 gpd
	391+50 Lt.	Trans. U.D. (old)	Slight flow of water in pipe and in gravel	8640 gpd	8640 gpd
E., -	394+05	Old Trans. U.D.	Dry	Dry	Dry
" noito	394+30 to 399+00 Rt.	Rehab. (Feeds into D.I. which carries surface water)	Very slight flow of water	1728 gpd	1005 gpd
- Se	394+50 to ) 398+00 E )	New			
		common outlet	Dry	Dry	Dry
	394+50 to 397+65 Lt.	New			
	398+50 Lt.	Old Obl. Trans. U.D.	Pipe Dry. Gravel below wet	Pipe drip Seepage under pipe	Same
	399+00 Rt.	Rehab. U.D.	Dry	Dry	Dry
	400+80 Rt.	Old Obl. Trans. U.D.	Not listed	Dry	Dry
	409+07 to 411+82	(Flow into D.I. which carries other surface	Dry	864 gpd	Dry
		Rehab. U.D.	*01d refera	Flow(?) Contract.	Dry New & Rehab.
	(Flow in DI	which carries other	1957	racto	

TABLE 4

## Stabilization Trenches 8" PMP Outlets

٠,	<u>Sta.</u>	Aug. 1957	<u>Feb. 1959</u>	Mar. 1959
]_	196+80	Small flow of water from pipe	Flow in bed under pipes	No change
	204+15	Not listed	21,500 gpd	5,400 gpd
	211+00	Dry	Dry	Dry
	214+00	Small flow of water from under PMP	Pipe wet, very wet under pipe	Pipe dry,wet under pipe
1	215+60	Dry	Dry	Dry
	220+00	Dry	Dry	Dry
	226+00	Small flow of water	Pipe dry - marshy and wet under pipe	Same
	<b>229</b> +40	Small flow of water	Wet under pipe	Same
1	238+00	Dry	Dry	Dry
	246+50	Dry	Dry	Dry
	248+50	Dry	Dry	Dry
	257+00	Dry	Dry	Dry
ı	258+00	Dry	Lost in slide area	DLY,
<u> </u>	258+50	Pipe dry. Gravel wet below	Lost in slide area	
Ē	259+50	Dry	Lost in slide area	
 	260+40	Very small flow of water	11 11 11	
ដ	261+50	Dry	18 88 89 E8	
Section	267+00	Pipe dry. Gravel below wet	Dry	Dry
	269+50	Pipe dry. Gravel below damp	2,880 gpd	Trickle
	278+30	Pipė dry. Water flowing from gravel below pipe	Seepage under pipe	Same
	279+00	Not listed	Wet and marshy at outlet	Same
	284+25	Very small flow of water	14,400 gpd	180 gpd
ļ	299+00	Not listed	Could not locate	•
	300+50	Very slight flow of water	Dry	Dry
l	305+60	Dry	Dry	Dry
	306+75	Drip from pipe	Pipe dry, damp under pipe	Same
<b>b</b> )	308+69	Dry	360 gpd	Dry
	310+70	Pipe dry, gravel below damp	360 gpd	Dry
] =:	312+75	Slight flow of water through PMP	Could not read due to high	h flow

## TABLE 4 (Cont.)

	Sta.	Aug. 1957	Feb. 1959	Mar. 1959
,	313+80	Slight flow of water	Drip from pipe - marshy	Same
	319+65	Pipe and gravel below damp	Could not locate	
	322+00	Not listed	8,800 gpd	Pipe damp, seepage under
יים"י	. 322+87	Slight drip from pipe	7,200 gpd	pipe
	323+50	Not listed	Dry	3,600 gpd
8	325+50	Dry	Seepage from	Dry Same
아 <b>ન</b>	323130	DLY	under pipe	Same
Section	327+00	Dry	Seepage from	Dry
Se			under pipe	DLY
	328+20	Dry	Dry	Dry
1	330+00	Slight drip from pipe	Seepage under pipe	Same
	337+00	Dry Dry	Seepage under pipe	Same
	337+50	Pipe damp - free water	360 gpd	Damp under
	557,50	in gravel below	Soo Spa	pipe
	338+50	Dry	Seepage under pipe	Same
	353 <del>+</del> 85	Damp	Slipout - pipe end	Dame
			covered - very wet	
	366+00	Pipe 1/3 plugged damp	36,000 gpd	36,000 gpd
	500,00	no flow of water	20,000 Pba	20,000 Spa
	369+45	Pipe dry. Gravel below	2,160 gpd	2,160 gpd
<del> </del>		damp. No flow of water	-,200 BF-	n, noo Spa
#	363+50	Dry	Dry	Dry
HE	364+00	Dry	nry	Dry
	368+00	Medium flow of water	5,400 gpd	5,400 gpd
Section	371+45	Pipe dry. Slight flow	Pipe dry. Very	Same
끕	*	of water in gravel	wet around pipe	<u> </u>
<u> </u>		below P.M.P.	not aloua pape	
Se	374+00	Dry	2,880 gpd	Drip
1	376+00	Dry	Dry	Dry
	377+00	Dry	Dry	Dry
	383+30	Not listed	Outlet covered	rebuilt
			fill slope	
į.	388+50	Medium flow to junc-	2,800 gpd	2,700 gpd
		tion box		J <b>U</b>
	410+85	Dry	Dry	Dry
		- ja	₹	-

TABLE 5
PAVEMENT SEEPAGES

	Station	February 25 & 26, 1959	March 9 & 10, 1959
	182+ 185 to 188 190 to 191	2 spots on SB lanes Several spots on SB lanes Several on SB lanes 2 on NB lanes	Same 2 still active None active
	199	1 on SB inner lane 2 on NB outer lane	None
	204+50 to 205+50 207+	NB outer lane SB outer lane	None
	210 <u>∓</u> 227 to 230	SB outer lane NB & across & SB outer lane	None
	234+50	NB outer lane SB inner lane	Active
	236 <u>+</u> 242+50	SB outer lane SB & NB lanes	None None
	245 to 247 247 to 248+50		None None
. ייםיי	250+50 255+50 to 256+50 275+00	NB outer lane NB outer lane NB outer lane	None None
"Section "	287+50 291+00	NB outer lane SB outer lane	None None
	.275+00 287+50 291+00 297+29 300+00	SB outer lane NB outer lane	None None
	300+50 306 to 307	SB outer lane SB outer lane SB outer lane	None None
	313 to 320	SB outer lane	None 70% of the seepages still wet
	321 322+50 to 323	L several spots Large area in SB lanes Large area over 18" CMP	None None
	325+70 326+50	across all lanes	Reduced in area
	330+00	E Several spots on inside SB lanes & outside NB lanes	None None
	346+50 & 349 350+25	Several spots on SB lanes b of SB lanes	None None
	352 to 353 365 368	SB lanes NB outer lane NB lanes	None Still active None
*	366	SB outer lane	None
11 E	370 372	SB " " E	11 11 11
Section	386+50 390 to 392 402	SB outer lane SB " " SB lanes	Ħ
Se	<b>⊤∨‰</b>	on ranco	Appears to be a spring in roadbed

TABLE 6
CUT BANK SEEPAGES

	Sta	ation			February 1959	March 1959
	190+ to 190 Rt.	194+50	Rt.	Ţ	3 Seeps Seep seems to feed into and flows across roadbed	3 seeps structural section the left side
	195+ to				7 seeps	3 seeps 3 " active
	205± to	211 <u>+</u>	Rt.		Wet cut banks	1 active
	213+ to 221+ to	220-	Rt.		Several small seeps Seeps at 223+50	Same
ŀ	231± to	224730	Rt.		2 seeps	Same
	231+ to				Wet mud flows	Drying-no active
	2011 00	2001				seeps
	251 <u>+</u> to	256 <u>+</u>	Rt.	•-	Wet cut bank- small slides	Drying
	11	11	Lt.		Wet - small slide	Dry
ŀ	261+ to	265+	Rt.		Very wet - slides	Spring
٤.	268+ to		Rt.		Seepages	2 active seeps
"D"	273+ to		Rt.		Small wet slides	Dry`
	277+ to				Several small seeps	H
Ö	286+ to		Rt.		Several small slides	Few damp spots
ij					& seeps	~ ~
Section	302 <u>+</u> to	308 <u>+</u>	Rt.		Stream from bench - few seeps	Seep from bench
	309+00		Rt.		Seeps have no drain- age must cross road	Dry
	323 <u>+</u> to	328 <u>+</u>	Rt.		Small slides - few	II .
		007			seeps	A - *
	332 <u>+</u> to	33/ <u>+</u>	Rt.		Slides - N.B. lanes heaved	Active spring at Sta. 336±
	11	11	Lt.		Small slide	Dry
	344 <u>+</u> to	348 <u>+</u>	Rt.		Several seeps	3 seeps active
	$352 \pm to$	358 <u>∓</u>	Rt.		5 seeps	2 seeps
	353750		Rt.		Flow from bench	Dry
	360+ to		Rt.		Several seeps	Still active
₩.	367± to	369 <u>+</u>	Rt.			Flow reduced
1	363 <u>+</u> to	366 <u>+</u>	Rt.		Wet cut banks	1 active seep Sta. 366+
回	376 <u>+</u> to	383 <u>+</u>	-Rt.		Slides-wet cut banks 7 horiz. drains-no	Wet cut banks
6					slides over drains	_
ᅾ	$393 \pm to$		Rt.		Wet cut slopes	1 seep
Section	401 <u>+</u> to	412 <u>+</u>	Rt.	* 12 55	Wet banks-small slides	1 seep at Sta. 409
	401 <u>+</u> t0	412 <u>+</u>	Lt.		Wet cut banks	Same



